

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,977	12/01/2003	James Henry Schumacher	45251-1002	1882
75	90 04/08/2005		EXAMINER	
Jonathan Tyler, Esq. 425 Park Avenue			PATTERSON, MARIE D	
New York, NY 10022-3598			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3728	

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	70
	10/724,977	SCHUMACHER, JAME	S HENRY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marie Patterson	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the integrand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
· _ ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	•	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) ☐ objected to I	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/16/04. 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 2, 4, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2, 4, 18, and 20 are functional, indefinite, and incomplete because they contain functional language not supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of such language. (MPEP 2114) It is not clear what structural limitations applicant intends to encompass with such language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9-11, 16-22, 25-27, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beneteau (5077916).

Beneteau shows a shoe with an outsole having a frame (1), an insert (2), cushion modules (20-22), and ribs (formed by 20) as claimed.

4. Claims 1-6, 9-12, 15-22, 25-28, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gross (5367791).

Gross shows a shoe with an outsole having a frame portion (80 and 82), inserts (83 and 88), modules (226-238), ribs (between 84 and 86), and the modules are a hollow pod which is filled with foam substantially as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,977 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7, 8, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Gross or Beneteau.

Gross or Beneteau discloses the claimed invention except for the exact durometer of the materials. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use materials with the claims durometers, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

7. Claims 12-15 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beneteau in view of Wilson (2090881).

Beneteau shows a shoe substantially as claimed except for the exact modules.

Wilson teaches the use of hollow pods (6) as a cushioning module. It would have been obvious to use hollow pods for the modules as taught by Wilson in the shoe of Beneteau to increase cushioning and durability.

In reference to claims 14-15 and 29-31, official notice is taken that it is well known and conventional to use differently shaped pods/modules for traction and comfort in footwear including diamond, oval, and flat pyramid shapes. It would have been obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/724,977 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

to shape the pods/modules in any known shape to provide specific traction needs, ornamentation, etc.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(703) 872-9306</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Marie Patterson</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

Marie Patterson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728